arXiv:1407.7707v5 [math.CO] 26 Aug 2015

NUMBER OF CLIQUES IN GRAPHS WITH A FORBIDDEN SUBDIVISION

CHOONGBUM LEE AND SANG-IL OUM

ABSTRACT. We prove that for all positive integers t, every n-vertex graph with no K_t-subdivision has at most $2^{50t}n$ cliques. We also prove that asymptotically, such graphs contain at most $2^{(5+o(1))t}n$ cliques, where $o(1)$ tends to zero as t tends to infinity. This strongly answers a question of D. Wood asking if the number of cliques in n-vertex graphs with no K_t-minor is at most $2^{ct}n$ for some constant c.

1. INTRODUCTION

A *clique* of a graph is a set of pairwise adjacent vertices. A graph H is a *minor* of a graph G if H can be formed from G by deleting edges and vertices and by contracting edges. An *H-subdivision* of a graph G is a subgraph of G that can be formed from an isomorphic copy of H by replacing edges with vertex-disjoint (non-trivial) paths. Trivially, if a graph has an H-subdivision, then it has an H-minor. But the converse is not true in general.

The problem of determining the maximum number of edges in graphs with no K_t-minor or no K_t-subdivision is a well-studied problem in extremal graph theory: Kostochka [8] and Thomason [14] proved that graphs with no K_t-minor have average degree at most $ct\sqrt{\ln t}$, and Bollobás and Thomason [1], and independently, Komlós and Szemerédi [7] proved that graphs with no K_t-subdivision have average degree at most $c't^2$, where c and c' are some absolute constants not depending on t (in fact, a theorem of Thomas and Wollan [13] can be used to show that $c' \leq 10$, see, [2, Theorem 7.2.1]). A graph is *d-degenerate* if all its induced subgraphs contain a vertex of degree at most d. The results mentioned above straightforwardly imply that graphs with no

Date: August 28, 2015.

C. L. is supported by NSF Grant DMS-1362326.

S. O. is supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT & Future Planning (2011-0011653).

K_t-minor are $ct\sqrt{\ln t}$-degenerate, and graphs with no K_t-subdivision are $c't^2$-degenerate.

We study a related problem of determining the maximum number of cliques in graphs with no K_t-minor or no K_t-subdivision. Our work can be viewed as an extension of Zykov's theorem [16] that establishes a bound on the number of cliques in graphs with no K_t subgraphs. For planar graphs, Papadimitriou and Yannakakis [10] and Storch [12] proved a linear upper bound and finally Wood [15] determined the exact upper bound $8n - 16$ for n-vertex planar graphs. Dujmović et al. [3] generalized this result to graphs on surfaces.

For graph with no K_t-minors, Reed and Wood [11] and Norine et al. [9] obtained an upper bound on the number of cliques by using the fact that an n-vertex d-degenerate graph with $n \geq d$ has at most $2^d(n - d + 1)$ cliques. By the results mentioned above, this implies that graphs with no K_t-minor have at most $2^{ct\sqrt{\ln t}}n$ cliques and graphs with no K_t-subdivision have at most $2^{10t^2}n$ cliques. Wood [15] then asked whether there exists a constant c for which every n-vertex graph with no K_t-minor has at most $2^{ct}n$ cliques. If true, then the bound would be best possible up to the constant c in the exponent, since the $(t-2)$-th power of a path on n vertices has no K_t-minor and contains $2^{t-2}(n - t + 3)$ cliques (including the empty set). See Section 3 for an alternative construction.

The results of Wood were later improved to $2^{ct\ln\ln t}n$ (for graphs with no K_t-minor) and $2^{ct\ln t}$ (for graphs with no K_t-subdivision) by Fomin, Oum, and Thilikos [4]. In this paper, we settle Wood's question by proving the bound not only for graphs with no K_t-minor, but also for graphs with no K_t-subdivision.

Theorem 1.1. *For all positive integers t, every n-vertex graph with no K_t-subdivision has at most $2^{50t}n$ cliques.*

Our proof also implies that such graphs have at most $2^{(5+o(1))t}n$ cliques.

2. Proof of theorem

One can enumerate all cliques of a given graph by choosing vertices one at a time, and recursively exploring its neighbors. To be more precise, first choose a vertex v_1 of minimum degree and explore all cliques that contain v_1 by recursively applying the algorithm to the graph induced on the set $N(v_1)$. Once all cliques containing v_1 has been explored, remove v_1 from the graph, choose a vertex v_2 of minimum degree in the remaining graph and repeat the algorithm. The

algorithm enumerates each clique of the graph exactly once, since the i-th step of the algorithm enumerates all cliques that contain v_i but do not contain any vertex from $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{i-1}\}$ (where v_j is the vertex chosen at the j-th step). We emphasize that we always choose the vertex of minimum degree within the remaining graph since this choice blends particularly well with sparse graphs. This algorithm has been used in various previous works (see e.g. [6]).

This simple algorithm immediately implies a reasonable result. Since K_t-minor free graphs are $ct\sqrt{\ln t}$-degenerate, the vertex v_i chosen at the i-th step of the algorithm above will have degree at most $ct\sqrt{\ln t}$ in the remaining graph at that time. Since the neighborhood of v_i is K_{t-1}-minor free, the number of cliques added at the i-th step is at most

$$\sum_{j=0}^{t-2} \binom{\lfloor ct\sqrt{\ln t} \rfloor}{j} \leq t(ec\sqrt{\ln t})^t \leq 2^{c't \ln \ln t},$$

proving that n-vertex graphs with no K_t-minor have at most $2^{c't \ln \ln t} n$ cliques. One can similarly show that n-vertex graphs with no K_t-subdivision have at most $2^{c''t \ln t} n$ cliques by using the following theorem mentioned in the introduction. Both of these bounds on the number of cliques were first proved in [4] using a different argument.[1]

Theorem 2.1 ([2, Theorem 7.2.1])**.** *For all $t \geq 1$, every graph of average degree at least $10t^2$ contains a K_t-subdivision.*

In this section, we show how a more detailed analysis of the algorithm gives an improved bound on the number of cliques for graphs with no K_t-subdivision.

2.1. **Enumerating cliques.** The algorithm introduced above provides a natural tree structure, called the *clique search tree*, to the cliques of a given graph $G = (V, E)$, where each node of the tree corresponds to one step of exploration in the algorithm, and at the same time, one clique of the graph. Formally, the clique search tree is a labelled tree defined as follows (since we are simultaneously considering two graphs, we denote the vertices of G by $v, w, \ldots$, while we denote the vertices of the tree by $a, b, \ldots$ and refer to them as nodes):

1. Start with a tree having a single node a_0 as a root node with label $L_{a_0} = V$.

[1]The short proof presented in this paper is due to the second author and D. Wood [private communication at the Barbados workshop on structural graph theory, Bellairs Research institute, 2013].

2. Choose a leaf node a of the current tree with $L_a \neq \emptyset$ and let $L := L_a$.
   2-1. Choose a vertex $v \in L$ of minimum degree in $G[L]$.
   2-2. Add a child node b to a in the tree and label it by the set $L_b = L \cap N(v)$.
   2-3. Define $L \leftarrow L - \{v\}$.
   2-4. Repeat Steps 2-1, 2-2 and 2-3 until $L = \emptyset$.
3. Repeat Step 2, until all leaves have label $\emptyset$.

Denote this tree as T_G. Thus T_G is a rooted labelled tree, where each node a is labelled by some set $L_a \subseteq V(G)$ (distinct nodes might receive the same label). Note that the number of cliques in G is exactly $|V(T_G)|$, since there exists a one-to-one correspondence between nodes of T_G and cliques of G. (The root node of T_G corresponds to the empty set, which is also a clique by definition.) Hence to count cliques of G, it suffices to count nodes of T_G.

The following proposition lists some useful properties of the tree T_G. A subtree T' of T_G is a *rooted subtree* if T' contains the root node of T_G. The *boundary nodes* of a rooted subtree T' is the set of nodes of T' that are adjacent in T_G to a node not in T'.

Proposition 2.2. *If G is a graph with no clique of size t, then the clique search tree T_G has the following properties.*

(i) *The number of nodes of T_G is equal to the number of cliques of G. Moreover, for all non-negative integers ℓ, the number of nodes of T_G that are at distance exactly ℓ from the root node is equal to the number of cliques of G of size ℓ.*
(ii) *For each node a of T_G, the tree $T_{G[L_a]}$ is isomorphic (as a rooted labelled tree) to the subtree of T_G induced on a and its descendents.*
(iii) *If b is a descendent of a, then $L_b \subsetneq L_a$.*
(iv) *Let T' be a rooted subtree of T_G whose boundary nodes are all labelled by sets of size at most m. Then*

$$|V(T_G)| \leq |V(T')| \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \binom{m}{i} \leq |V(T')| 2^m.$$

Proof. Properties (i), (ii), and (iii) follow from the definition and the discussions given above. To prove Property (iv), suppose that we are given a tree $T' \subseteq T_G$. Since T' is a rooted subtree, each node in T_G is either in T' or is a descendant of a boundary node of T'. Furthermore, by Properties (i) and (ii), each boundary node of T' has at most

$\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \binom{m}{i}$ descendants in T_G. Hence

$$|V(T_G)| \leq |V(T')| + \sum_{a\,:\,\text{boundary of } T'} \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \binom{m}{i} \leq |V(T')| \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \binom{m}{i}. \quad \square$$

2.2. **Graphs of large minimum degree.** The simple argument given in the beginning of this section that proves the bound $2^{c't \ln \ln t} n$ for K_t-minor free graphs is equivalent to applying Proposition 2.2 (iv) to the subtree induced on the root of T_G and its children. Hence to improve on this bound, it would be useful to find a small rooted subtree T' of T_G whose boundary nodes are all labelled by small sets. When does such a subtree exist?

A graph G is called (β, N)-*locally sparse* if every set X of at least N vertices has a vertex $v \in X$ of degree at most $\beta|X|$ in $G[X]$.[2] This concept was first introduced by Kleitman and Winston [6] in their study of the number of C_4-free graphs on n vertices, and has been successfully applied to several problems in extremal combinatorics.

In the following two lemmas, we utilize the concept of locally sparse graphs to handle a subcase of our theorem when the graph is small and dense. This subcase turns out to be an important ingredient in the proof of general cases.

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be an m-vertex graph with no K_t-subdivision. If G has minimum degree at least $\frac{9}{10}m$, then $m \leq \max\{\frac{20}{11}t, \frac{t^2}{5}\}$ and G is $(1 - \frac{m}{2t^2}, \frac{20}{11}t)$-locally sparse.*

Proof. We may assume that $m \geq \frac{20}{11}t$, since otherwise the lemma is vacuously true. Let X be a subset of vertices of size $|X| \geq \frac{20}{11}t$ and suppose that $G[X]$ has minimum degree at least $(1 - \frac{m}{2t^2})|X|$ (note that this quantity may be negative). If we sum $e(Y)$, the number of edges in Y, over all t-element subsets Y of X, then each edge in X is counted exactly $\binom{|X|-2}{t-2}$ times. Therefore there exists a t-element subset Y of X

[2]It is more common to define a (β, N)-locally sparse graph as a graph satisfying the following slightly stronger property: each subset X of size at least N contains at most $\beta|X|^2$ edges.

such that

$$\begin{aligned} e(Y) &\geq \frac{\binom{|X|-2}{t-2}e(X)}{\binom{|X|}{t}} \\ &= \binom{t}{2}\frac{e(X)}{\binom{|X|}{2}} \\ &> \binom{t}{2}\left(1-\frac{m}{2t^2}\right) > \binom{t}{2}-\frac{m}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Since every vertex of G has degree at least $\frac{9}{10}m$, every pair of vertices of G has at least $\frac{4}{5}m$ common neighbors. For each non-edge $e=\{v,v'\}$ in Y, we can greedily find a common neighbor $w_e \in V(G)\setminus Y$ of v and v' such that all chosen w_e for all non-edges e are distinct, because Y has at most $\frac{m}{4}$ non-edges in Y and

$$\frac{4}{5}m-\left(t+\frac{m}{4}\right)=\frac{11}{20}m-t\geq 0.$$

Then Y together with all chosen w_e induces a K_t-subdivision in G, contradicting our assumption. Therefore, G is $(1-\frac{m}{2t^2},\frac{20}{11}t)$-locally sparse. Since G has minimum degree at least $\frac{9}{10}m$, if $m\geq\frac{20}{11}t$, then this implies

$$\frac{9}{10}m\leq\left(1-\frac{m}{2t^2}\right)m,$$

from which $m\leq\frac{t^2}{5}$ follows. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be an m-vertex graph with no K_t-subdivision with $m\leq\frac{t^2}{5}$. If G is $(1-\frac{m}{2t^2},\frac{20}{11}t)$-locally sparse, then G contains less than 2^{5t} cliques.*

Proof. If $m<5t$, then trivially G contains less than 2^{5t} cliques and therefore we may assume that $m\geq 5t$. Let T_G be the clique search tree of G and let T' be the subtree of T_G obtained by taking all nodes of distance at most $\lfloor 2\frac{t^2}{m}\ln\frac{m}{t}\rfloor$ from the root. Then by the local sparsity condition, the label set of each boundary node of T' has cardinality less than $\max\{\frac{20}{11}t,\frac{10}{9}t\}=\frac{20}{11}t$, because

$$\left(1-\frac{m}{2t^2}\right)^{\lfloor 2\frac{t^2}{m}\ln\frac{m}{t}\rfloor}m<\frac{e^{-\ln\frac{m}{t}}m}{1-\frac{m}{2t^2}}\leq\frac{10}{9}t,$$

where the last inequality follows from $m\leq\frac{t^2}{5}$. By Proposition 2.2 (i), the number of nodes of T' is at most the number of cliques of size at

most $\lfloor 2\frac{t^2}{m}\ln\frac{m}{t}\rfloor$ and so we have the following inequality:

$$|V(T')| \le \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor 2\frac{t^2}{m}\ln\frac{m}{t}\rfloor} \binom{m}{i}.$$

As $\frac{\ln x}{x} \le \frac{1}{e}$ for all $x > 0$, $2\frac{t^2}{m}\ln\frac{m}{t} \le \frac{2t}{e} < m$. Since $\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor k\rfloor}\binom{m}{i} \le \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor k\rfloor}\binom{m}{i}\left(\frac{k}{m}\right)^{i-k} \le \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^k(1+\frac{k}{m})^n \le \left(\frac{em}{k}\right)^k$ for all $k \le m$, we have

$$|V(T')| \le \left(\frac{em}{2\frac{t^2}{m}\ln\frac{m}{t}}\right)^{2\frac{t^2}{m}\ln\frac{m}{t}} \le \left(\frac{m^2}{t^2}\right)^{2\frac{t^2}{m}\ln\frac{m}{t}} = e^{4t\frac{\ln^2(m/t)}{m/t}}.$$

because $2\ln\frac{m}{t} \ge 2\ln 5 > e$. As $\frac{\ln^2 x}{x} \le \frac{4}{e^2}$ for all $x > 1$,

$$|V(T')| \le e^{\frac{16}{e^2}t} < 2^{3.13t}.$$

Since the label set of each boundary node of T' has cardinality less than $\frac{20}{11}t$, by Proposition 2.2 (iv),

$$|V(T_G)| \le |V(T')| \cdot 2^{\frac{20}{11}t} < |V(T')|2^{1.82t}.$$

It follows that G has at most $2^{(3.13+1.82)t} < 2^{5t}$ cliques. □

2.3. **Finishing the proof.** In this subsection, we prove Theorem 1.1.

We may assume that $t \ge 4$, because otherwise G is a forest and contains at most $2n$ cliques. Given a graph G with no K_t-subdivision, let T_G be its clique search tree. By Theorem 2.1, G is $10t^2$-degenerate. Therefore every non-root node has a label set of cardinality at most $10t^2$, and thus has at most $10t^2$ children.

We construct a rooted subtree T' of the clique search tree T_G according to the following recursive rule. First take the root node. Then for a node a in T', take its child a' to be in T' if $\sqrt{10}t \le |L_{a'}| < \frac{9}{10}|L_a|$. Since the label set of every non-root node has cardinality at most $10t^2$ and the cardinality of the label sets decrease by a factor of at least $\frac{9}{10}$ at each level, we see that T' is a tree of height at most $1 + \frac{\ln(10t^2)}{2\ln(10/9)}$. Since the root of T_G has exactly n children, the number of nodes of T' satisfies

$$|V(T')| \le n \cdot (10t^2)^{\frac{\ln(10t^2)}{2\ln(10/9)}} = n \cdot 2^{\frac{\ln^2(10t^2)}{2\ln(10/9)\ln 2}}$$

$$\le n \cdot 2^{\frac{t\ln^2(160)}{8\ln(10/9)\ln 2}} < 2^{44.1t}n, \tag{1}$$

where the second to last inequality follows from the fact that $t \ge 4$ and $\frac{\ln^2(10x^2)}{x}$ is decreasing for $x > \frac{e^2}{\sqrt{10}}$.

Further note that for each boundary node a of T', either $|L_a| \leq \sqrt{10}t$, or there exists a child a' of a for which $|L_{a'}| \geq \frac{9}{10}|L_a|$. In the first case, the number of descendants of a in T_G is clearly at most $2^{\sqrt{10}t}$, which is less than 2^{5t}. In the latter case, let $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{|L_a|}$ be the vertices in L_a listed in the order that they were chosen by the algorithm, and let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{|L_a|}$ be the corresponding nodes of T_G. Suppose that i is the minimum index for which $|L_{a_i}| \geq \frac{9}{10}|L_a|$. Define $X_a = \{v_i, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_{|L_a|}\}$ and let $G_a = G[X_a]$. Notice that the clique search tree T_{G_a} is isomorphic to the subtree of T_G induced on a, $a_i, \ldots, a_{|L_a|}$, and the descendants of $a_i, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_{|L_a|}$ in T_G. Hence, the total number of nodes of T_G is at most

$$\begin{aligned} |V(T_G)| &\leq |V(T')| + \sum_{a\,:\,\text{boundary of } T'} (|V(T_{G_a})| - 1) \\ &\leq |V(T')| \cdot \max_{a\,:\,\text{boundary of } T'} |V(T_{G_a})|. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

By the definition of our algorithm, the vertex v_i is a vertex of minimum degree in the graph G_a, and hence G_a has minimum degree at least $|L_{a_i}| \geq \frac{9}{10}|L_a| \geq \frac{9}{10}|X_a|$. By Lemma 2.3, G_a is $(1-\frac{|X_a|}{2t^2}, \frac{20}{11}t)$-locally sparse and $|X_a| \leq \max\{\frac{20}{11}t, \frac{1}{5}t^2\}$. If $|X_a| \leq \frac{1}{5}t^2$, then G_a satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.4, and therefore the tree T_{G_a} has at most 2^{5t} nodes. Otherwise $|X_a| \leq \frac{20}{11}t$ and by Proposition 2.2 (i), the tree T_{G_a} has at most $2^{\frac{20}{11}t}$ nodes. In either case, we have

$$|V(T_{G_a})| \leq 2^{5t}.$$

By substituting this bound and (1) into (2), we obtain the desired inequality $|V(T_G)| \leq 2^{5t}|V(T')| < 2^{(5+44.1)t}n < 2^{50t}n$.

3. Remarks

In this paper, we proved Theorem 1.1 asserting that every n-vertex graph with no K_t-subdivision has at most $2^{50t}n$ cliques. In fact, our proof shows that such graphs have at most $2^{(5+o(1))t}n$ cliques, since (1) could have been replaced by the inequality $|V(T')| \leq 2^{o(t)}n$.

It remains to determine the best possible constants c and C for which the number of cliques in an n-vertex graph with no K_t-subdivision is at most $2^{(c+o(1))t}n$ and at most $2^{Ct}n$. We showed that $c \leq 5$ and $C \leq 50$, while as mentioned in the introduction, the $(t-2)$-th power of a path shows that $c \geq 1$. Lemma 2.3 can be written as follows: if G is an m-vertex K_t-subdivison-free graph of minimum degree at least $(1-\alpha)m$, then $m \leq \max\{\frac{t}{1-2\alpha-\beta/2}, \frac{\alpha}{\beta}t^2\}$ and G is $(1-\frac{\beta m}{t^2}, \frac{t}{1-2\alpha-\beta/2})$-locally sparse. By taking $\alpha = 0.01$ and $\beta = 0.65$ and following an

almost same proof, we can obtain $c < 4$. Similarly, by taking $\alpha = 0.35$ and $\beta = 0.4$, we can obtain $C < 20$. (In the modified proof, when we compute an upper bound on the number of cliques in a graph on γt vertices, we may use the inequality $\sum_{i=0}^{t} \binom{\gamma t}{i} \leq (\gamma e)^t$ instead of $2^{\gamma t}$ to achieve a better bound depending on γ.)

D. Wood [15] showed that $c \geq \frac{2}{3} \log_2 3 \approx 1.057$ because the complete k-partite graph $K_{2,2\ldots,2}$ contains 3^k cliques and has no K_t-subdivision for $t > \lfloor 3k/2 \rfloor$.

We remark that Kawarabayashi and Wood [5] proved that n-vertex graphs with no odd-K_t-minor have at most $O(n^2)$ cliques and unlike the case of graph minors, n^2 cannot be improved because $K_{n,n}$ has no odd-K_3-minor.

Acknowledgement. We thank David Wood and the two anonymous referees for their valuable comments.

References

[1] B. Bollobás and A. Thomason. Proof of a conjecture of Mader, Erdős and Hajnal on topological complete subgraphs. *European J. Combin.*, 19(8):883–887, 1998.

[2] R. Diestel. *Graph theory*, volume 173 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, Heidelberg, fourth edition, 2010.

[3] V. Dujmović, G. Fijavž, G. Joret, T. Sulanke, and D. R. Wood. On the maximum number of cliques in a graph embedded in a surface. *European J. Combin.*, 32(8):1244–1252, 2011.

[4] F. V. Fomin, S. Oum, and D. M. Thilikos. Rank-width and tree-width of H-minor-free graphs. *European J. Combin.*, 31(7):1617–1628, 2010.

[5] K.-i. Kawarabayashi and D. R. Wood. Cliques in odd-minor-free graphs. In *Proceedings of the Eighteenth Computing: The Australasian Theory Symposium - Volume 128*, CATS '12, pages 133–138, Darlinghurst, Australia, Australia, 2012. Australian Computer Society, Inc.

[6] D. J. Kleitman and K. J. Winston. On the number of graphs without 4-cycles. *Discrete Math.*, 41(2):167–172, 1982.

[7] J. Komlós and E. Szemerédi. Topological cliques in graphs. II. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 5(1):79–90, 1996.

[8] A. V. Kostochka. The minimum Hadwiger number for graphs with a given mean degree of vertices. *Metody Diskret. Analiz.*, 38:37–58, 1982.

[9] S. Norine, P. Seymour, R. Thomas, and P. Wollan. Proper minor-closed families are small. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 96(5):754–757, 2006.

[10] C. H. Papadimitriou and M. Yannakakis. The clique problem for planar graphs. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 13(4-5):131–133, 1981.

[11] B. Reed and D. R. Wood. A linear-time algorithm to find a separator in a graph excluding a minor. *ACM Trans. Algorithms*, 5(4):Art. 39, 16, 2009.

[12] T. Storch. How randomized search heuristics find maximum cliques in planar graphs. In *Proc. of the 8th Annual Conf. on Genetic and Evolutionary Computation*, pages 567–574, New York, NY, USA, 2006. ACM.

[13] R. Thomas and P. Wollan. An improved linear edge bound for graph linkages. *European J. Combin.*, 26(3-4):309–324, 2005.
[14] A. Thomason. An extremal function for contractions of graphs. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 95(2):261–265, 1984.
[15] D. R. Wood. On the maximum number of cliques in a graph. *Graphs Combin.*, 23(3):337–352, 2007.
[16] A. A. Zykov. On some properties of linear complexes. *Mat. Sbornik N.S.*, 24(66):163–188, 1949.

Department of Mathematics, MIT, Cambridge, MA 02139-4307, USA
E-mail address: cb_lee@math.mit.edu

Department of Mathematical Sciences, KAIST, Daejeon, 34141, South Korea
E-mail address: sangil@kaist.edu